UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,876	08/16/2005	Tetsuro Mizushima	890050.505USPC	4217
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER	
			VERDERAME, ANNA L	
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/511,876	MIZUSHIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANNA L. VERDERAME	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Au     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/511,876 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claims See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-7 will not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishihara et al. 2002/0054983 in view of Suzuki et al. 6,149,999.

Nishihara et al. teaches a dual-layer optical recording medium comprising a first polycarbonate substrate 1, a 40 nm ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> film as the lower protective layer 2, a GeN first lower interface layer 3 having a thickness of 5 nm, a 4-10nm recording layer 4, a first upper interface layer having 5 having a thickness of 5 nm, a ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> upper protective layer 6 having a thickness of 5 nm, a GeN upper interface layer 7 having a thickness of 5 nm, an Ag alloy reflective layer 8 having a thickness of 10 nm, a GeN interface layer 9 having a thickness of 5 nm and a ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> transmittance adjustment layer having a thickness of 30 nm(0152) and then the second

**recording composite**. It is the position of the examiner that the ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> transmittance adjustment layer corresponds to applicants' base protect film disposed between the translucent reflective film and the transparent intermediate layer. An optically separating layer 21 was formed by coating a UV-ray curable resin onto the first information layer 11(0153).

In order to increase transmittance the thickness of the first reflective layer 8 is in the range of 5 to 15 nm (0081).

Materials and properties of the optically separating layer are taught at (0082).

Materials for the protective layers are taught at (0062).

Nishihara et al. does not teach a heat radiation film disposed between the substrate and the first dielectric film.

Suzuki et al. teaches an optical recording medium like that shown in figure 1 comprising a substrate 1, a heat diffusion layer 7, a lower protection layer 2a reflection control layer 3, a recording layer 4, an upper protective layer 5 and a reflection layer 6. Figure 1 illustrates the flow of heat generated in the recording layer. Part is conducted to the reflection layer and another part is conducted and diffused to the heat radiation layer. A medium having an adequate cooling rate necessary for quenching upon amorphous formation is formed (10/51-11/2). Aluminum nitride, Silicon carbide, and aluminum oxide are taught as materials for the heat diffusion layer (10/32-47).

It is the position of the examiner that the heating/ cooling considerations for the recording stack nearest the light incidence plane in a dual-layer optical recording

Art Unit: 1795

medium are similar to those for a single layer recording medium based on the proximity of the recording layer to the incident laser light.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the dual-layer optical recording medium taught in Nishihara et al. at 0152-0153 by adding a heat dissipation layer of AIN or SiC between the first substrate 1 and the first lower protective layer 2 based on the example of Suzuki et al. and with the reasonable expectation of obtaining a medium in which heat generated in the recording layer 4 is conducted/ diffused both to the reflective layer and to the heat radiation layer resulting in improved cooling and allowing for quenching upon amorphous formation.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNA L. VERDERAME whose telephone number is (571)272-6420. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8A-4:30P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571)272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,876 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. L. V./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Martin J Angebranndt/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795